

4 December 2023

Acetic Acid Methodology

Date of last formal consultation: 25 January 2023

Expiry date of this methodology document: 10 January 2026



General methodology

ICIS continuously develops, reviews and revises its methodologies in consultation with industry participants. The product specifications and trading terms and conditions used are intended to reflect typical working practices in the industry.

ICIS publishes market assessments based on information gathered from market participants about: spot transactions, spot bid and offer levels, contract price negotiations, prices of related commodities, and relevant freight costs.

ICIS does not make retrospective adjustments or changes to price assessments based on information received after publication time or after any cut-off point specified in individual methodology documents.

ICIS regards all arm's-length transactions which meet its specification criteria as carrying equal weight.

ICIS uses proprietary models where necessary to normalise data to the typical specifications for cargo size and date ranges given for each commodity.

Some ICIS assessments are the product of calculation alone, for example in markets where insufficient market activity takes place to permit price assessment, or where a market itself habitually sets prices according to a formula. Such calculated assessments are noted as such in their detailed methodology specifications.

ICIS endeavours to cross-check all the transaction information it gathers. ICIS will not use information for assessment purposes where such checks call into doubt the accuracy of the original information, or where a transaction appears to have occurred under circumstances that render it non-repeatable or otherwise markedly unusual.

Rationale for acetic acid methodology

All ICIS-published spot assessments in the weekly acetic acid reports are so-called "week's range" assessments. That is, they are intended to represent the tradable value throughout the week leading up to the date of the report. Similarly, in the daily reports they are for the whole day. ICIS will indicate days where closure of particular markets due to public holidays would result in non-publishing and non-assessment days. The full week is defined from the report's deadline, e.g. Friday 17.00 to the following Friday 17.00.

The value published is intended to reflect the real transactable value of a commodity during the course of the week. As such, transaction information would take precedence over bids and offers.



Where a confirmed deal is done for the same specification and loading range as confirmed bids and offers, and when all are declared to the market simultaneously, the deal will take precedence over the bids and offers. In a liquid trading period, deals will form the basis of assessments. In an illiquid market, a single or small number of deals will be included in the range. In the absence of any deals, editorial judgment will be used, including sentiment, bids and offers. During the assessment process we may consider other information. However actual transactions, and bids and offers will always take precedence.

ICIS takes into account a wide range of market input in making such choices, and reserves the right to exclude from its analysis any price information deemed unreliable or unrepresentative of the market. ICIS commits to describing the information it deemed reliable in the market comment accompanying its assessments, including transactions, bids, offers and other market information used in making these assessments. This includes instances where ICIS typically makes assessments based on firm transaction or bid/offer information, but where that information was not available on a given day and alternative evidence was used.

Cargoes partially loading outside of the Assessed Trading Timeframe may be reflected in the assessment, but will not typically be used where there is deal/bid/offer information within the assessment period.

ICIS has adopted this “week’s range” methodology for Acetic acid reports based on several decades of market observation and feedback. Other measurement strategies, for example weighted averaging, are vulnerable to random variation in transaction levels and volume, which can give rise to distortions.

In addition, the exact volume of transactions for any given product is unknowable in advance, and a volume-dependent methodology is exposed to: a) the charge that lower volumes will result in less accurate prices, and b) to the possibility of failure in the event no transactions occur.

Specifications for acetic acid

ICIS pricing quotes acetic acid in **Europe, Asia-Pacific**, and the **US Gulf**.

Published weekly on Fridays in Europe and Asia-Pacific, and on Tuesdays in the US Gulf.

Assessments are based on information supplied by market participants through the week (Monday-Friday) up to the close of business at 1700 hours London, Singapore, or Houston time.



Specifications: ICIS pricing quotes product that is minimum acetic acid content of 99% and max water content of 0.15% by weight. Pricing quote in the domestic Chinese market conforms to or exceeds premium grades of GB/T1628-2008.

Timing: In the US, deals assessed typically have a loading time of two to six weeks from publication, and Letters of Credit with maximum 90 days validity. European spot FD assessments reflect business done for the current month. East China ex-tank deals assessed reflect cargoes delivered 0-10 days forward from the date of publication. European spot FD assessments reflect business done for the current month. In Asia, deals assessed typically have a loading/delivery time of two to six weeks from publication and Letters of Credit with maximum 90 days validity, except for trades concluded on 'FOB China basis'. East China ex-tank deals assessed reflect cargoes delivered 0-10 days forward from the date of publication.

Terms: 30-60 days after bill of lading date, with the exception of trades concluded on 'FOB CHINA' basis, which are on cash or LC at sight basis. In the east China ex-tank market, bankers' acceptance is used for spot business. In Asia, trades are concluded with LCs of maximum 90 days validity.

Standard cargo size: In Europe, the FD NWE spot quote reflects typical truck sizes of 20-35 tonnes.

In Asia, the CFR N.E. Asia price quote mainly reflects trades of 1,000-2,000 tonnes into Japan as spot liquidity in the rest of northeast Asia is thin. The CFR N.E. Asia price assessment excludes China.

The CFR S.E. Asia price quote reflects typical cargo sizes of 500-2,000 tonnes. The CFR S. Asia price quote reflects trades of typical cargo sizes of 3,000-6,000 tonnes, mainly into West Coast of India ports. The FOB China price quote reflects trades of typical cargo sizes of 1,000-5,000 tonnes. The yuan (CNY) ex-tank East China quote reflects a minimum cargo size of 30 tonnes.

The US Gulf FOB range covers US to Mexico and South America and cargoes of 1,000-5,000 tonnes.

Assessment basis: Acetic acid price assessments are based on reported business and bids-offers heard throughout the week.

In Europe, the assessed price ranges for quarterly and monthly contracts include consumers of 10,000-70,000 tonnes/year. The contract quotes reflect price delta movements from the previous settlement. Bulk parcels referred to from time to time in the text are usually around 1,000 tonnes.



There is no contract price band published in the US. The US market comprises four producers, each of which is vertically integrated between acetic acid and vinyl acetate monomer (VAM).

In Asia, spot price assessments are based on information gathered throughout the week (Monday to Friday) from market players comprising producers, end-users and traders. The process of evaluation firstly takes into consideration confirmed deals, then reported deals. Best bids and offers and rumoured deals might also be partly taken into consideration in the formulation of assessments in the absence of confirmed deals, especially if they are in line with rapidly changing market fundamentals.

In the absence of trades, the published price range may be adjusted on a notional basis to accurately reflect the levels at which trading activity could take place based on the majority of market sentiment and based on highest bids or lowest offers. Factors affecting price movements including supply/demand information; plant operating rates; feedstock, domestic prices and derivative markets; deep-sea cargo movements and general sentiment, will also be taken into account. In the event that the FOB China market becomes illiquid, ICIS will take into account prevailing sea freight and/or logistics rate levels in determining the FOB value from CFR values. Domestic prices will also form part of the consideration for FOB China exports amid demand supply extremes and a lack of liquidity for FOB China after a protracted period over three weeks.

Certain prices may be excluded from the range if they have been negotiated in unusual circumstances or if special conditions are thought to apply. Domestic prices in China and India will be discussed in the text.

The east China weekly ex-tank assessment is derived from the daily ex-tank prices at the Jiangsu (Jiangyin, Nantong and Zhangjiagang) and Zhejiang (Ningbo) ports inclusive of value added tax; ex-works prices of key producers and the prices of cargoes delivered to end-users in east China from other producers located outside the region after factoring in freight and storage costs.

The assessment for CFR China Main Port was removed in September 2011 in the absence of import trades and because China has become a net exporter.

All regional reports offer market commentary that includes details of traced transactions, news on the supply/demand balance, export/import information, contract price negotiations and general sentiment for price direction. Where applicable there is product information, comments on up and downstream market developments and general market intelligence.

Netbacks: Netback calculations (i.e. CFR prices derived from FOB numbers + freight) are not usually considered sufficient to warrant an automatic adjustment of CFR assessments on the basis of open market freights. The use



of COA vessels in Asia-Pacific and the need for employment can lead to below-market freight components to apply. Similarly, southeast Asian price assessments are not adjusted on northeast Asian prices + freight component, or vice versa.

Normalisation: In exceptional cases where the lack of liquidity represents a challenge in making assessments, editors can choose to adopt a normalisation process to include deals/trades information which falls outside the standard specifications listed in the methodology pertaining to, but not limited to, volume, timing, delivery, payment terms, import tariffs, product specifications and other operational matters. The normalisation process adopted should be in line with standard practices and will only be used either as a reference for assessments, or be included as part of the assessment range. Where normalisation has occurred and has been reflected in a published price assessment, this will be described in the text of the report and the process will be described and justified.

Acetic Acid (EUROPE)

Weekly Price Assessments

Acetic Acid Contract Prices

- FD NWE Quarterly (EUR/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
- FD NWE Monthly (EUR/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
-

Acetic Acid Spot Price

- FD NWE – TRUCKS (EUR/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)

Acetic Acid Feedstock Price

- METHANOL FOB RDAM Quarterly (EUR/MT)

Acetic Acid (ASIA-PACIFIC)

Weekly Price Assessments

Acetic Acid Spot Prices

- CFR N.E. Asia (USD/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
- CFR S.E. Asia (USD/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
- CFR S. Asia (USD/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
- FOB China (USD/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)
- East China ex-tank (CNY/MT & conversion to US CTS/LB)



Acetic Acid (US GULF)

Weekly Price Assessments

Acetic Acid Spot Price

- FOB EXPORT (USD/MT & conversion to CTS/LB)

Other principles and guidelines

Changes to methodology

All markets evolve and ICIS has a duty to ensure its methodologies for market-reporting evolve in step with markets.

ICIS therefore regularly conducts internal reviews of the appropriateness of its methodologies, based on industry feedback.

Draft changes are then made public and comment requested from industry participants, with a minimum one-month notice period, except where, exceptionally a *force majeure* event (natural disaster, war, bankruptcy of a trading exchange etc.) makes necessary a shorter notice period.

ICIS is committed to reviewing all comments on proposed methodology changes, but in some cases may find it necessary to alter its methodologies against the wishes of some market participants.

In addition, ICIS has a formal methodology consultation process. The company commits to holding this consultation every three years for the acetic acid reports. The date of the last consultation launched and the expiry date by which the company commits to conducting the next consultation can be seen at the top of the methodology document.

Please also refer to the Methodology Consultation Process section of the company's Compliance Manual. This contains detailed flow charts documenting the internal and external review and consultation process.

Consistency

ICIS achieves consistency between its assessors in exercising their judgement by requiring all assessors to follow this detailed methodology as well as the company's Editorial Standards document. In addition, ICIS reporters are required to complete standard training before undertaking the work of a market reporter. Every reporter's work is spot checked.

Data standards

ICIS has a public Data Standards Policy which covers the type and quality of information we ask market participants to report.



The following principles relate to ICIS acetic acid assessments and commentaries:

- Where possible, please allow access to active market traders and allow them to comment on active news stories.
- Where possible, please provide market data from both front and back-office functions.
- Where possible, please provide complete data and not a subsection.
- Flag inter-affiliate transactions.
- Flag sleeve trade.
- Flag spread trades.
- When a source or contact leaves the organisation please contact ICIS to the replacement (ICIS requests that both the source and the organisation contacts them).
- Where information is not validated by the source (i.e. rumour) please indicate as such.

Delivery locations for price assessments

Locations for ICIS acetic acid assessments are chosen to reflect the concentration of liquidity on the traded markets.

Exercise of judgement

Apart from instances where data may be excluded (see below), ICIS will typically exercise judgement where market information about firm bids and offers or transactions is not available.

In most cases this will involve the application of spread trade information or prevailing market relationships, detailed in the specifications section for each grade in this document.

ICIS will also exercise judgement where only a bid or offer is available, or where a bid/offer spread is so wide as to be unhelpful in establishing tradable value. In both instances, spreads to other grades or prevailing market relationships will typically be used to assess the price. In these cases the assessment will still fall above the highest firm bid and below the lowest firm offer, as long as the bid and/or offer information meets all other criteria specified in this methodology.



Exclusion of data

In line with its Editorial Standards policy, ICIS reporters actively seek to identify anomalous market information and exclude it from the assessment process. For crude market assessments, this is done by the daily information gathering and verification process carried out by reporters, whereby market transaction, bid and offer information is confirmed and verified by multiple sources.

In assessing acetic acid markets, ICIS takes into consideration only arms-length transactions between non-affiliated parties.

ICIS does not accept bids or offers that are not firm. Any bid or offer which is demonstrably not firm will be disregarded and further bids or offers from the same counterparty may also be disregarded.

ICIS also excludes from its assessments transactions where ICIS market reporters have reasonable grounds to doubt that a transaction is representative of typical market behaviour: for example, where a deal is concluded disregarding the best bid or offer on the market; where there is evidence that a market participant has disclosed only part of its market activity to ICIS; or where a transaction lies outside the prevailing range of typical market activity.

ICIS records instances of anomalous data and reviews these instances on a regular basis with a view to determining if a pattern exists.

Where market reporters have concerns over the behaviour of a market participant, this will be escalated using the ICIS Escalation Process for Compliance and Regulatory Issues. This can be found in the company's Compliance Manual.

Market communication

ICIS communicates with a broad range of market participants – traders, brokers, back-office employees, supply managers, operations personnel and company executives – to obtain market information.

ICIS communicates with participants by telephone, email, instant messenger and in person. All instant messenger, email communication and notes of any face-to-face communication are archived and details of telephone communication are logged and data-based.

ICIS does not accept instant messenger communication from unknown parties, and reporters are required to verify a market participant's identity prior to using IM communication.

ICIS does not regard in any way as binding attempts by companies to restrict ICIS communication with their employees. ICIS has a duty to its subscribers to



obtain the maximum possible amount of market information. ICIS treats all communication from market participants as confidential.

ICIS reporters are bound by a Code of Conduct to report to their superiors any coercive or threatening communication from market participants, or any offers of inducements of any kind intended to influence an assessment.

Where improper communication appears to have taken place, ICIS will communicate in the first instance with senior management at the company or companies involved, and if necessary with relevant market authorities.

ICIS expects the highest standards of propriety from all market participants, and regards all communications from market participants as representative of the views of an individual's employer.

ICIS is committed to the highest levels of customer service, and has a formal feedback and complaints policy, which can be viewed here:

<http://www.icis.com/about/icis-feedback-policy>

Market data verification

ICIS will always make best endeavours to confirm bids, offers and transactions with the relevant party/parties. ICIS attempts to cross-check all market data received from a buy or sell-side participant with a participant's trading counterparty.

Where both counterparties to a transaction cannot or will not confirm the data, ICIS seeks corroboration from other market sources.

Where transaction or bid/offer information has been received from a trader rather than from a company's back office, ICIS always seeks confirmation from other sources.

Where ICIS has grounds to doubt an item of market data, it may request further evidence that a transaction has taken place, including documented evidence.

ICIS treats transaction data received from active brokerages as confirmed.

On occasion, in markets with low liquidity and a low number of counterparties, ICIS may choose to use unconfirmed data, but only in so far as it is aligned with other market information and comes from a source deemed reliable by ICIS based on previous interactions.



Minimum data threshold

Because of the sometimes thinly traded nature of some markets, ICIS does not have a minimum data threshold for its assessment methodologies in this market.

ICIS makes clear in its daily market comments whether it has assessed a price based on transaction or bid/offer data or whether it has used other forms of evidence or calculation.

Selection of participants

ICIS policy on general market data is that we welcome all information regardless of source or constitution as long as it is provided in good faith as true.

However, only active market participants verified as such by existing active industry participants and verified as a viable business by ICIS investigations will be allowed to contribute price data to ICIS for the purpose of assessing tradable market value under this methodology.

Unit prices and credit terms

Acetic acid in all regions is generally traded in US dollars/tonne, cents/gal or euros/tonne and therefore all price assessments are quoted on these bases.

Typical credit terms for acetic acid are 30-90 days from Bill of Lading date.


Volumes

For each region ICIS publishes the standard cargo size, found in the specifications sections of this methodology document. Market information for cargoes conforming to these standards will be fully considered in the assessment process, providing the information conforms to all other specifications and conditions published in this methodology.

As indicated earlier, if ICIS has market information regarding cargoes outside of these published ranges, it will be normalised together with any standard-sized cargo information. In this case, ICIS will seek to establish whether there is a market price premium or discount for the non-standard cargo and apply this for the purpose of making its assessment.

General Methodology Guide for ICIS Chemicals

ICIS endeavours to provide a fair and timely representation of traded prices, which could be used as an effective reference point for market participants. As no two markets are the same, ICIS hopes to tailor methodologies which reflect the needs of each specific commodity market it covers based on factors such as, but not limited to, geography, trade flows/logistics, market size, product characteristics, participants and regulation. ICIS adopts an open policy to



feedback regarding its methodology and will conduct reviews on a regular basis.

Spot range assessments

Published daily and weekly, these delineate the typically tradable range for a full working day or week.

The range is normally established using verified typical transactions and standardized atypical transactions.

In the event that no relevant transactions have occurred in the assessed period, ICIS will establish a range using bids and offers for typical spec material; and using established market relationships resulting from manufacturing economics, product linkages, freight and forward markets.

ICIS Mid-Point

Established referencing to ICIS prices often refer to the mid-point of the range as the fair representation of the commodity's traded value.

Weekly range assessments are marked in some ICIS reports with a "+" to distinguish them from spot close assessments (see below).

Instrument function: In liquid markets, ICIS would typically focus on the majority traded principle which would typically exclude deals considered to be outlier deals and unrepresentative of the general market consensus. Provides overview of market activity over course of one day in the case of daily reports, or one week in the case of weekly reports. Any change in assessment periods as a result of public holidays arising in any given week will be indicated via subscriber notes. Width of range offers insight into current levels of market volatility, and could also infer associated differentials caused by logistical and product variances.

ICIS endeavours to keep a tight range through maintaining detailed methodologies but this is difficult in thinly traded markets. Variable range width means assessment trades off accuracy for inclusivity, and transparency is reduced vis-a-vis spot close assessment. Suitable for inclusion in averaging mechanisms and market analysis tools.

Spot close assessments

Published daily and weekly, these reflect the transactable market value of the assessed product at the close of business for the assessed period (daily or weekly). Assessments are nevertheless shown as a low-high range, indicating the "space" in which a transaction is deemed to have been possible at the specified time. This low-high is typically tighter than that shown in a spot range assessment. The assessment is established taking into account:

- typical, repeatable transactions at arm's length between non-affiliated market participants;
- standardized “atypical” transactions, where it is possible to derive a typical equivalent market value for a transaction which does not conform to standard specifications;
- bids and offers for typical spec material;
- movements in related markets. In the absence of reliable, confirmable market information for a specific commodity, ICIS reserves the right to compute changes in specific assessments based on established relationships derived from manufacturing economics, product linkages, freight, and forward markets.

Weekly/Daily Spot Close assessments are marked in some ICIS reports with a “*” to distinguish them from Spot Range assessments (see above).

Where possible, editors will indicate any weightage used for spot close assessments which are weighted towards an active period.

Instrument function: Reflects most recent tradable market value with high transparency and high accuracy. Suitable for inclusion in averaging mechanisms and market analysis tools.

Indexes

In some markets, ICIS publishes volume-weighted averages – known as “indexes” or “indices” – of verified typical transactions over specified periods, either daily or weekly.

Inputs to an index are checked editorially for conformity to specification and statistical outliers are eliminated. See individual methodologies for details.

Instrument function: An index is a mathematically derived indicator of typical traded value over a given period. Because it is an average, the deviation from the last transacted value at the close of business could be substantial, depending on the time period assessed hence does not always provide a currently transactable price indication.

Contract reference prices

These are publicly announced, often single number, reference contract prices, agreed in multilateral negotiations and used as a base price for contractual sales of material by producers, typically between major producers and large end-users. ICIS publishes Contract Reference prices once confirmation is obtained of at least two agreements between recognised contract partners of significant size. Note that the date of publication can vary for each contract period depending on the speed of industry negotiations.



It is common for discounts to be associated with announced contract prices, which are usually not common knowledge.

Instrument function: Contract reference prices are used in some markets as the basis for monthly or quarterly contracts and form the basis for further negotiations between producers and buyers on volume-related discounts or premiums.

Contract price assessments

Published weekly, these reflect the achievable “base price” for contractual sales of material by producers, either to onward “distributors” or direct to end-users. Prices, typically valid either for one month or for three, are arrived at by negotiation between producers and buyers, and are updated by ICIS once confirmation is obtained of agreement between major producers and typical buyers of the size indicated in individual specifications. Note that most contract prices are agreed as a base from which discounts or premiums are given to individual buyers, and that the size of these discounts typically varies based on the volume purchased over the contract period by the buyer.

In the event that market participants fail or decline to confirm outright contract price levels to ICIS, ICIS reserves the right to make its assessments of achievable contract prices based on established market relationships derived from manufacturing economics, product linkages, freight, and forward markets.

Instrument function: Provides view of baseline for currently prevailing contract mechanisms, where these are statically determined – that is, bilaterally negotiated contracts not based on automated averaging of spot market prices.

Distribution indicators

Published weekly for some markets, these reflect contract prices net of known discounts to typical-sized product distributors. See individual methodology statements for details.

Instrument function: Provides additional insight into typical prices paid by buyers in statically determined (i.e. bilaterally negotiated contracts not based on averaged spot market prices).

Margins

Published in ICIS Margin Reports, margin prices reflect computed differentials between different products related through the processing chain.

Instrument function: Provides insight into supply chain economics and industry profitability. A useful reference for baseline production cost calculations, particularly by tracking the margin shifts across different periods. Theoretically determines scope of pricing further along processing chain. Note that market



behaviour sometimes violates apparent margin economics. Suitable for in-depth market and industry analysis.

List or posted prices

Published weekly for some markets, these are released by manufacturers as suggested selling prices. In many cases, these prices are reduced after negotiations with buyers. Price changes are sometimes used as important references for negotiations in thinly traded markets.

Price changes

The change in prices from the previous period is indicated in blue as an increase (+), in red as a decrease (-) or no change (n/c) or not assessed (n/a). Changes for prices at the low end of each range are shown at the left and changes for prices at the high end of each range are shown at the right. Changes in weekly spot prices represent the changes from the previous week and changes in monthly or quarterly contract prices represent the change from the previous month or quarter.

Report name

Some reports cover a range of products. Trade in product of inferior quality (off-spec) is taken into consideration when it affects the market for material that meets standard specifications. Reference to off-spec/distressed cargo is at the discretion of the editor.

Periods referred to in contract price quotations are either months (noted by standard abbreviations) or quarters of the calendar year.

Q1 January February March

Q2 April May June

Q3 July August September

Q4 October November December

Feedstock prices

Contract prices for certain feedstocks are shown where appropriate. In all cases where feedstock prices are shown, they have been taken from the current ICIS pricing report for the product.

Date

ICIS pricing reports are written on the day of publication. The only exceptions are when a public holiday impacts the market. In some circumstances reports will be compiled one or a maximum two days early. When this occurs, it is clearly marked on the report. ICIS pricing provides daily and weekly pricing



reports. Deadlines (unless otherwise specified in the methodology) are 1700 hours local time in London, Singapore, Shanghai and Houston. Market close prices refer to this deadline, unless specified otherwise.

Contract price assessments are updated in reports as soon as possible after confirmation is obtained of contract settlement. Because the amount of time required to reach contract agreement varies from month to month, it is not possible to guarantee publication of monthly contract prices at the same point in each month.

Note that information received after the relevant close cannot be used for assessment purposes, nor can a correction be issued based on subsequently received information.

The date of publication is not altered in the event of public holidays. All weekly reports are published at least 50 times per year. Certain reports are not published during a two-week period in late December/early January. Daily reports are published five times per week, but may not appear on certain days due to public holidays. Please refer to the ICIS pricing publishing schedule for more detailed information.

Geographical regions

ICIS pricing normally covers products on a regional basis to ensure the main drivers impacting the market in any given area are adequately covered. Reports are currently issued covering Europe, the Middle East, Asia or Asia-Pacific, China, India, West Asia, the United States or North America, Latin America, and the Former Soviet Union.

Within these broad areas the most common quotations comprise:

NWE	mainland Northwest Europe (N. France, N. Germany, Benelux)
Med	Southern France, Spain, Italy
NE Asia	Taiwan, Korea, Japan, China
SE Asia	Singapore, Philippines, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam
West Asia	Pakistan, India
East Asia	NE Asia & SE Asia
GCC	Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, UAE
E. Med	Greece, Israel, Egypt, Syria, Jordan, Lebanon
FSU	Former Soviet Union: Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan



USG US Gulf

CMP China Main Port

Northern Africa Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, Sudan

Eastern Africa Eritrea, Djibouti, Somalia, Kenya, Tanzania

Southern Africa Namibia, Mozambique, South Africa

Western Africa Mauritania, Senegal, The Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Guinea, Sierra Leone, Liberia, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Togo, Benin, Nigeria.

Quotation basis

Prices are quoted with reference to the terms and location of delivery. The period of delivery is also quoted for contract prices. Assessment windows vary from product to product for spot sales. See specific product entries in the Methodology for further details.

Incoterms devised by the International Chamber of Commerce are mainly used to indicate what costs are included in the price. Assessments do not include Value Added Tax (VAT). Terms regularly used in ICIS pricing reports can be found in the Glossary.

Units

Prices are quoted in the currency and unit measure relevant to the particular market. Most chemicals are quoted in US dollars per metric tonne (\$/MT), although euros per metric tonne (€/MT), US cents per pound (US CTS/LB) or US cents per gallon (US CTS/GAL) are frequently used. Historical data includes assessments previously measured in European currencies superseded by the euro.

Conversions (weights and measures)

Prices are converted to other currencies and unit measures for ease of reference. Conversions are derived from the quoted price assessments using standard rates of conversion and current exchange rates. Conversions involving weights and volumes are calculated within industry acceptable ranges, which vary from product to product according to specific gravity (e.g. USD/MT to CTS/GAL).

Foreign exchange rates

ICIS provides exchange rates for a variety of international currencies that are time aligned with publication of our pricing reports and consistent for analytical use when applied to historical pricing data. Because of our publishing



schedule, certain rates used in some reports may be changed as data moves into a historical database. The ICIS methodology used is as follows:

Rates are not established by ICIS pricing but are published by arrangement with Xenon (www.xe.com). The exchange rates shown are those in effect at the time and date indicated, normally around 17:15 hours in London on the day of publication. They are not a mean or average of exchange rates in effect during the period since the report was last published, but reflect a mean of the bid/offer at the time taken for that particular day. Exchange rates published by ICIS pricing are intended only as a reference and rates offered by local banks or other financial institutions may vary.

- Exchange rates quoted at the foot of the text in ICIS pricing reports are mid-market rates, quoted to two decimal places, applicable on the date of publication. ICIS pricing also offers a real-time currency conversion tool via XE.com, with a click-through link from the pricing reports, to enable subscribers to make quick exchange calculations.
- Exchange rates used for the current day's price assessments in compiling the charts contained within ICIS pricing reports are mid-market rates issued at 01:00 UTC on the date of publication. This preliminary exchange rate is used to allow charts to be produced ahead of 16:00 UTC.
- Exchange rates applied to historical data are mid-market rates issued at 16:00 UTC on the date of publication.

ICIS pricing also offers a real-time currency conversion tool, with a click-through link from the pricing reports, to enable subscribers to make quick exchange calculations.

Non-market price adjustments

Non-market price adjustments are necessary on the rare occasions when after careful consideration it is determined that the level of a price assessment is deemed to have become unrealistic. Before any decision is taken to adjust a price level, a broad spectrum of market participants is polled for their views on both the necessity and potential impact of any planned change and its timing.

Once it is clear an adjustment is required, ICIS pricing posts a notice telling subscribers it intends to make the change, and asks for any feedback over a two week period. After two weeks, and if it is decided to proceed, a second notice is posted informing subscribers that the adjustment will be made two weeks later. All price adjustments take place with a minimum four weeks public notice to subscribers.



Once the adjustment has taken place it is prominently mentioned in the price report it applies to. ICIS also adds a note to the online Price History to explain the apparent step-change in prices. It is important to note that price change deltas remain unaffected by any adjustment and the price trend remains accurate.

Contract Price Change Deltas

In some markets, contract settlements – especially quarterly ones – can evolve further after the initial assessment. This may mean that the actual market movement to the next settlement may not be fully aligned with the mathematical difference between the reported prices in one period and the next. In such cases, ICIS may make an editorial decision to publish the new period's price range without including a delta value in the price table. The reasons for doing so and the indications of the actual market movement would be discussed in the text of the report. The delta box in these cases would show as “not assessed” (n/a).

Price history – key changes to methodology for contract prices

ICIS price history has been modified such that contract price assessments now relate to the period to which they apply irrespective of their settlement date. This change has been applied retrospectively to all quotes, including discontinued quotes.

As a result:

For a monthly contract (or quarterly) quote selected as frequency ‘C’ and downloaded as csv or displayed as a table in the original quoted currency the report date is given as the first of the month (or quarter). For a contract selected as frequency ‘C’ and displayed as a graph, a ‘stepped’ chart of the value (or average of the low and high where applicable) is displayed with the steps occurring on the first of the month (or quarter).

For a contract quote selected as frequency ‘C’ in any currency other than the original a single monthly (or quarterly) value (low, high and average) is displayed. This value is derived using an average of the foreign exchange rates taken at 16:00 UTC (GMT) on each of the publication dates within the month (or quarter). For the current period, the average foreign exchange rates for all the publication dates within the period to date are used.

For a contract quote selected as frequency ‘W’, the report date is given as the ICIS pricing weekly report publication date – the contract value (low, high and average) applicable to that month (or quarter) is displayed (which, depending on settlement date, may differ from the contract value reported at the time in that week’s ICIS pricing report). For a contract quote selected as frequency



'W' and displayed as a graph, a 'stepped' chart is displayed with the steps occurring on the first publication date within the month (or quarter).

For a contract quote selected as frequency 'W' in any currency other than the original, the contract value is converted for each week using the foreign exchange rate taken at 16:00 UTC (GMT) on the publication date.

Where a contract for the current period has not yet settled, no contract value shows in a weekly price history series – price history terminates at the end of the period to which the last settled contract price applies.

Where a contract settles for a future period, it does not display in price history until publication of the first ICIS pricing report within that period.

Where an initial contract value is reported for a period, and subsequently revised, the latter (or latest) value is taken as the contract value for the whole period.